

DD/A Registry
76 - 0317

9 December 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration

THROUGH : Director of Communications

SUBJECT : Appeal of Claim (C-3364)

STATINTL

[Redacted]

1. Appeal of denial of Claim C-3365 is based principally on the lack of correlation between the information supplied in the claim and the remarks made by the Reviewing Officer on the Adjudication of Claim.

2. An example of incorrect or misleading information is the statement by the Reviewing Officer that a second wallet was in possession of the claimant at the time of the theft that contained more money than the wallet that was stolen. The original claim stated quite clearly that the second wallet contained more money only if the travelers checks were counted. The wallet contained \$200.00 in travelers checks.

3. The statement that the Claimant was quite aware of the fact that Saigon is a city in which the high incidence of street theft is recognized, is not substantiated by the statement of facts in the original claim.

4. The attached memorandum by S. D. Breckinridge, Deputy Inspector General, should be reviewed as support for this appeal. A memorandum was received from CCS confirming that they cannot allow a claim to be submitted to the insurance company.

STATINTL

[Redacted]

Atts

- Att 1: Form 2328, dated 8 March 1974
- Att 2: Memorandum For: Chairman, Claims Review Board
- Att 3: Memorandum For: Deputy Inspector General
- Att 4: Memorandum from Claims Reviewing Officer
- Att 5: CSB 75-76, dated 7 March 1975

25X1

Approved For Release 2002/11/04 : CIA-RDP79-00498A000100030040-3

Next 14 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2002/11/04 : CIA-RDP79-00498A000100030040-3

DD/A Registry
76-0317

9 December 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration
 THROUGH : Director of Communications
 SUBJECT : Appeal of Claim (C-3364)
 Claimant - [redacted]

STATINTL

1. Appeal of denial of Claim C-3365 is based principally on the lack of correlation between the information supplied in the claim and the remarks made by the Reviewing Officer on the Adjudication of Claim.
2. An example of incorrect or misleading information is the statement by the Reviewing Officer that a second wallet was in possession of the claimant at the time of the theft that contained more money than the wallet that was stolen. The original claim stated quite clearly that the second wallet contained more money only if the travelers checks were counted. The wallet contained \$200.00 in travelers checks.
3. The statement that the Claimant was quite aware of the fact that Saigon is a city in which the high incidence of street theft is recognized, is not substantiated by the statement of facts in the original claim.
4. The attached memorandum by S. D. Breckinridge, Deputy Inspector General, should be reviewed as support for this appeal. A memorandum was received from CCS confirming that they cannot allow a claim to be submitted to the insurance company.

STATINTL
 [redacted]

Atts

- Att 1: Form 2328, dated 8 March 1974
- Att 2: Memorandum For: Chairman, Claims Review Board
- Att 3: Memorandum For: Deputy Inspector General
- Att 4: Memorandum from Claims Reviewing Officer
- Att 5: CSB 75-76, dated 7 March 1975